

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/037,208	01/04/2002	Ara H. Gharapetian	HI06036USU (P01003US)	6472	
34408 THE ECLIPSI	7590 03/20/2009 E GROUP LLP	EXAM	EXAMINER		
	DA BLVD., SUITE 300	DU, THUAN N			
GRANADA H	IILLS, CA 91344		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2116		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/20/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/037,208	GHARAPETIAN, ARA H.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thuan N. Du	2116		

	Thuan N. Du	2116				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1. Some the properties of the after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Capridos:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	n.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be f	iled within two months	e of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause			
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	<ol> <li>See attached Notice of Non-Cor</li> </ol>	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•				
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	rided below or appended.					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	thefere or on the date of films a Ne	tion of Annualill not	the entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attach	ed.			
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
In response to applicant's argument that Teich fails to de agrees. However, the transmitting a plurality of respecti relied upon to disclose the capability of simultaneously to the combination Darbee-Teich would allow the plurality of the plurality of the combination Darbee-Teich would allow the plurality of the plurality of the combination the plurality of the plurality of t	ve signals to a plurality of devices was misting a plurality of signals by	vas disclosed by Darb a plurality of transmitte	ee. Teich was ers. Therefore			
by a plurality of transmitters (taught by Teich) to a plural		, .o bo omidianeo	as, nanomittee			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).						
13. Other: .						

/Thuan N. Du/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2116

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090318